

Yenifikir Journal Evaluation Form Template for Referees

Referee Code: _____ Date of Submission to Referee: _____

Article Title:				
No	Points of Assessment	Insufficient	Sufficient	Very Good
1.	Title – Content compatibility			
2.	Includes the summary of the article, the purpose, method, application field, and result of the study			
3.	The suitability of the introduction (defining and presenting the problem, why such a study is needed, etc.)			
4.	The originality of the problem			
5.	The nature of the problem			
6.	Approach specificity			
7.	Justification			
8.	Subject Integrity			
9.	Accessing first-hand resources			
10.	A critical literature review			
11.	Contribution to the field (conceptual, methodological, application)			
12.	Mastering the terminology			
13.	Language mastery, comprehensibility, fluency, and academic aptitude and amplitude			
14.	Evaluation and conclusion			
No	Points of Assessment	Yes	No	
1.	Is the article you are reviewing an original study that contributed to science?			
2.	Is it prepared in accordance with scientific rules?			
3.	Are there any unnecessary documents not mentioned in the article?			
4.	Is every document mentioned given in the resources section?			
5.	Are the results consistent with the purpose and scope of the research?			
6.	Are there any data or findings not mentioned in the text in the results section?			
7.	Other points you want to specify:			

Annotation in association with the points of assessment:

It can be published as it is : ()

Can be published after amendments : ()

- Minor amendment : () - Major amendment : ()

- I want to see the corrected version : () - I don't need to see the corrected version : ()

This article cannot be published : ()

Referee

Date:

Name Surname:

Department, Faculty, and University:

SIGNATURE: